

Autonomous Drifting using Simulation-Aided Reinforcement Learning -Additional Material-

Mark Cutler and Jonathan P. How

In this addition to the regular paper, we derive the derivatives needed to use the moment matching method from [1] for doing Gaussian process (GP) predictions when using uncertain inputs. In this case, the GP predictions are combinations of the predictions of two GP's, one from real-world data (rw) and one from simulated data (sim). In the equations below superscript letters encased in parentheses indicate indices of a vector or matrix.

First, since the proportion to which the real data is valued is a function of both the input mean and the covariance (note that $\Sigma_{*(rw)}$ depends on μ and Σ), the derivatives of $p_{(rw)}$ with respect to μ and Σ are needed.

The derivative of the generalized logistic function with respect to the input is

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = -B e^{B(x-x_0)} \left(Q e^{B(x-x_0)} + 1 \right)^{\left(-\frac{1}{Q} + 1\right)}. \quad (1)$$

Defining a helper variable

$$\gamma = \frac{\|\Sigma_{*(rw)}\|_F}{\|[\sigma_{n_1}^2, \dots, \sigma_{n_E}^2]\|},$$

the chain rule of differentiation is applied to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p_{(rw)}}{\partial \mu} &= \frac{df(\gamma)}{d\gamma} \times \frac{d\gamma}{d\|\Sigma_{*(rw)}\|_F} \times \frac{d\|\Sigma_{*(rw)}\|_F}{d\Sigma_{*(rw)}} \times \frac{\partial \Sigma_{*(rw)}}{\partial \mu} \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{df(\gamma)}{d\gamma}}_{1 \times 1} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{\|[\sigma_{n_1}^2, \dots, \sigma_{n_E}^2]\|}}_{1 \times 1} \times \underbrace{\frac{\Sigma_{*(rw)}}{\|\Sigma_{*(rw)}\|_F}}_{E \times E} \times \underbrace{\frac{\partial \Sigma_{*(rw)}}{\partial \mu}}_{E \times E \times D}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p_{(rw)}$ is a scalar, $\frac{\partial p_{(rw)}}{\partial \mu}$ is a $1 \times D$ vector, which is computed element-wise as

$$\frac{\partial p_{(rw)}}{\partial \mu^{(i)}} = \frac{df(\gamma)}{d\gamma} \times \frac{1}{\|[\sigma_{n_1}^2, \dots, \sigma_{n_E}^2]\|} \times \frac{1}{\|\Sigma_{*(rw)}\|_F} \times \sum_{k=1}^E \sum_{l=1}^E \Sigma_{*(rw)}^{(k,l)} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{*(rw)}^{(k,l)}}{\partial \mu^{(i)}}. \quad (2)$$

Again using the chain rule, the partial derivative of $p_{(rw)}$ with respect to the input covariance is computed as

$$\frac{\partial p_{(rw)}}{\partial \Sigma} = \underbrace{\frac{df(\gamma)}{d\gamma}}_{1 \times 1} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{\|[\sigma_{n_1}^2, \dots, \sigma_{n_E}^2]\|}}_{1 \times 1} \times \underbrace{\frac{\Sigma_{*(rw)}}{\|\Sigma_{*(rw)}\|_F}}_{E \times E} \times \underbrace{\frac{\partial \Sigma_{*(rw)}}{\partial \Sigma}}_{E \times E \times D \times D},$$

where the $D \times D$ output is calculated element-wise as

$$\frac{\partial p_{(rw)}}{\partial \Sigma^{(i,j)}} = \frac{df(\gamma)}{d\gamma} \times \frac{1}{\|[\sigma_{n_1}^2, \dots, \sigma_{n_E}^2]\|} \times \frac{1}{\|\Sigma_{*(rw)}\|_F} \times \sum_{k=1}^E \sum_{l=1}^E \Sigma_{*(rw)}^{(k,l)} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{*(rw)}^{(k,l)}}{\partial \Sigma^{(i,j)}}. \quad (3)$$

Given the derivatives of $p_{(rw)}$, the derivatives of the prediction mean with respect to the input mean can now be calculated as

$$\frac{\partial \mu_*}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\partial \mu_{*(sim)}}{\partial \mu} + p_{(rw)} \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{*(rw)}}{\partial \mu} - \frac{\partial \mu_{*(sim)}}{\partial \mu} \right) + (\mu_{*(rw)} - \mu_{*(sim)}) \left(\frac{\partial p_{(rw)}}{\partial \mu} \right)^T, \quad (4)$$

and the prediction covariance with respect to the input covariance as

$$\frac{\partial \mu_*^{(i)}}{\partial \Sigma} = \frac{\partial \mu_{*(sim)}^{(i)}}{\partial \Sigma} + p_{(rw)} \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{*(rw)}^{(i)}}{\partial \Sigma} - \frac{\partial \mu_{*(sim)}^{(i)}}{\partial \Sigma} \right) + (\mu_{*(rw)}^{(i)} - \mu_{*(sim)}^{(i)}) \frac{\partial p_{(rw)}}{\partial \Sigma}. \quad (5)$$

Similarly, the derivative of the input-output covariance with respect to the input mean is calculated element-wise as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_*}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(i)}} &= \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_* (sim)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(i)}} + p(rw) \left(\frac{\partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_* (rw)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(i)}} - \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_* (sim)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(i)}} \right) + \\ &\quad \left(\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_* (rw)} - \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_* (sim)} \right) \frac{\partial p(rw)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(i)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and with respect to the input covariance as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_*}^{(i,j)}}{\partial \Sigma} &= \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_* (sim)}^{(i,j)}}{\partial \Sigma} + p(rw) \left(\frac{\partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_* (rw)}^{(i,j)}}{\partial \Sigma} - \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_* (sim)}^{(i,j)}}{\partial \Sigma} \right) + \\ &\quad \left(\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_* (rw)}^{(i,j)} - \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_* (sim)}^{(i,j)} \right) \frac{\partial p(rw)}{\partial \Sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

To calculate the partial derivatives of the predictive covariance, some helper variables are first defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{(sim)}^{(i,j)} &= \left(\mu_{*(sim)}^{(i)} - \mu_*^{(i)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{*(sim)}^{(j)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} - \frac{\partial \mu_*^{(j)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \right) + \\ &\quad \left(\mu_{*(sim)}^{(j)} - \mu_*^{(j)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{*(sim)}^{(i)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} - \frac{\partial \mu_*^{(i)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \right) + \frac{\partial \Sigma_{*(sim)}^{(i,j)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \\ \Phi_{(rw)}^{(i,j)} &= \left(\mu_{*(rw)}^{(i)} - \mu_*^{(i)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{*(rw)}^{(j)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} - \frac{\partial \mu_*^{(j)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \right) + \\ &\quad \left(\mu_{*(rw)}^{(j)} - \mu_*^{(j)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{*(rw)}^{(i)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} - \frac{\partial \mu_*^{(i)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \right) + \frac{\partial \Sigma_{*(rw)}^{(i,j)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\Phi_{(sim)}$ and $\Phi_{(rw)}$, the partial derivative of the predictive covariance with respect to the input mean are calculated as

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_*^{(i,j)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} = \Phi_{(sim)}^{(i,j)} + p(rw) \left(\Phi_{(rw)}^{(i,j)} - \Phi_{(sim)}^{(i,j)} \right) + \left(\beta_{(rw)}^{(i,j)} - \beta_{(sim)}^{(i,j)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial p(rw)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \right)^T. \quad (8)$$

Defining similar helper variables for the covariance

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{(sim)}^{(i,j)} &= \left(\mu_{*(sim)}^{(i)} - \mu_*^{(i)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{*(sim)}^{(j)}}{\partial \Sigma} - \frac{\partial \mu_*^{(j)}}{\partial \Sigma} \right) + \\ &\quad \left(\mu_{*(sim)}^{(j)} - \mu_*^{(j)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{*(sim)}^{(i)}}{\partial \Sigma} - \frac{\partial \mu_*^{(i)}}{\partial \Sigma} \right) + \frac{\partial \Sigma_{*(sim)}^{(i,j)}}{\partial \Sigma} \\ \Psi_{(rw)}^{(i,j)} &= \left(\mu_{*(rw)}^{(i)} - \mu_*^{(i)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{*(rw)}^{(j)}}{\partial \Sigma} - \frac{\partial \mu_*^{(j)}}{\partial \Sigma} \right) + \\ &\quad \left(\mu_{*(rw)}^{(j)} - \mu_*^{(j)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{*(rw)}^{(i)}}{\partial \Sigma} - \frac{\partial \mu_*^{(i)}}{\partial \Sigma} \right) + \frac{\partial \Sigma_{*(rw)}^{(i,j)}}{\partial \Sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

the partial derivative of the predicted covariance with respect to the input covariance are

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_*^{(i,j)}}{\partial \Sigma} = \Psi_{(sim)}^{(i,j)} + p(rw) \left(\Psi_{(rw)}^{(i,j)} - \Psi_{(sim)}^{(i,j)} \right) + \left(\beta_{(rw)}^{(i,j)} - \beta_{(sim)}^{(i,j)} \right) \frac{\partial p(rw)}{\partial \Sigma}. \quad (9)$$

In summary, Equations 1-9, together with the partial derivatives in [1], [2] define the partial derivatives needed to implement the moment matching algorithm for approximating the output of the combined real-world and simulation GP from the regular paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Deisenroth, D. Fox, and C. Rasmussen, "Gaussian processes for data-efficient learning in robotics and control," *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. PP, no. 99, 2014.
- [2] M. Cutler and J. P. How, "Efficient reinforcement learning for robots using informative simulated priors," in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. Seattle, WA: IEEE, May 2015.